PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FPEL05150069	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/CN2005/002305	International filing date (day/month/year) 23 December 2005 (23.12.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year)
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form P		
Applicant INTEL CORPORATION		

1.	This international preliminary r International Searching Authori	eport on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the ity under Rule $44\ bis.1(a)$.
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	al of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.
		rence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report
	Box No. II	Priority
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
4.		ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
		Date of issuance of this report 24 June 2008 (24.06.2008)

Authorized officer

e-mail: pt02.pct@wipo.int

Ellen Moyse

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	ĭŢŢ₹						
To: 100011 22/F, Great Eagle Centre 23 Harbour Road, Wanch Hong Kong Special Administrativ The People's Republic of Cl	e ai ve Region hina		PCT INION OF THE INTERNATIONAL INCHING AUTHORITY				
		((PCT Rule 43 bis.1)				
		Date of mailing	2006 (26 · 10 · 2006)				
Applicant's or agent's file reference FPEL05150069		FOR FURTHER A	` `				
International application No.	T		see paragraph 2 below				
PCT/CN2005/002305	International filing da 23.Dec 2005		Priority date (day/month/year)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or b	l	·	L				
(2 0, 02	G06F9/00 (
Applicant INTEL CORPOR	RATION et al						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i)with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No.VIII Certain observations on the international application Box No.VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT.	/ISA/220.						
Name and mailing address of the ISA/CN The State Intellectual Property Office, the P.R.China 6 Xitucheng Rd., Jimen Bridge, Haidian District, Beijing, China 100088 Facsimile No. 86-10-62019451	Date of completion of 06.Sep 2006 (Authorized officer A502 Telephone No. 86-10-62084932				

Form PCT/ISA/237(cover sheet)(April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CN2005/002305

1007	x No	. I	Basis of the opi	nion							
1.	Wit	th reg	ard to the langua	ge, this opin	nion has been	established	d on the basis	s of:			
		a t	international appranslation of the nished for the puri	internationa	d application	into		23.1(b)).	, which is t	he language	of a translation
2.	Wit	h rega ention	ard to any nucleo n, this opinion ha	tide and/or s been estab	amino acid a	sequence d basis of :	isclosed in th	ne internation	al application a	and necessar	ry to the claimed
	a.		of material a sequence listin table(s) related t		nce listing						
	b.		nat of material on paper in electronic for	m							
	c.		of filing/furnishi contained in the filed together wi furnished subseq	international	ational applic	cation in ele	ectronic form	h			
3. [,	iurnis	dition, in the case shed, the require cation as filed or	d statement	is that the in	formation	in the subse	quent or add	litional copies	ng thereto h is identical	as been filed or I to that in the
4.	Add	itiona	l comments:								
					•						
			237(Box No. I) (A								

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/CN2005/002305

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement:

	extreme and explanation	is supporting such	n statement	
١.	Statement:			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	4-7,11-14,16,20-23,27-30	YES
		Claims	1-3,8-10,15,17-19,24-26	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	4-7,11-14,16,20-23,27-30	YES
		Claims	1-3,8-10,15,17-19,24-26	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-30	YES
		Claims		NO
				_

2. Citations and explanations

(1) The documents cited in the search report have been taken into consideration here:

D1:US20030037089A1

D2:US6961941B1

D3:GB2355319A

(2) D1 discloses a method, and particularly discloses features as follows (cited in abstract, col2 line 4 to col 7 line 13): trapping, by a processor, a change in execution among schedulable entities; and tracking an execution of a schedulable entity that is switched in for execution as a result of the change in execution. Thereby, D1 discloses all of the technical features of claim1, claim 1 lacks an novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).

For claims 2 and 3, their additional features are disclosed in D1 (cited in abstract, col2 line 4 to col 7 line 13), thus, claims 2 and 3 lack an novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).

D2 discloses a computer architecture. The computer architecture includes a first operating system (COS), which may be a commodity operating system, and a kernel, which acts as second operating system. The COS is used to boot the system as a whole. After booting, the kernel is loaded and displaces the COS from the system level, meaning that the kernel itself directly accesses predetermined physical resources of the computer. All requests for use of system resources then pass via the kernel. System resources are divided into those that, in order to maximize speed, are controlled exclusively by the kernel, those that the kernel allows the COS to handle exclusively, and those for which control is shared by the kernel and COS. In the preferred embodiment of the invention, at least one virtual machine (VM) runs via a virtual machine monitor, which is installed to run on the kernel. Each VM, the COS, and even each processor in a multiprocessor embodiment, are treated as separately schedulable entities that are scheduled by the kernel. Mechanisms for high-speed I/O between VM's and I/O devices are also included.

D3 discloses a job scheduler. The job scheduler has an associated task file (40) comprising one or more platform dependent task definitions having associated conditions for execution. The scheduler comprises: a thread (12) for monitoring for a change to the task file; and a thread (14), responsive to changes in the task file, for reading the task file and, responsive to the conditions for execution of any one of the one or more tasks being met, spawning a further thread (16) for executing the task.

It is obvious that not all the technical features in claims 4-7 are disclosed by D1,D2 or D3, and further the technical solution claimed is not obvious to a person skilled on the basis of D1,D2,D3 or their combination. Thus, claims 4-7 has novelty under PCT Article 33(2), and has an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3).

D1 discloses an apparatus, and particularly discloses features as follows (cited in abstract, col2 line 4 to col 7 line 13): compring a processing unit configured to trap a change in execution among schedulable entities, to compare a state register that identifies the schedulable entity being switched in for execution with a state match register that identifies a schedulable entity that is to be tracked, and to track the schedulable entity being switched into execution if the state register and the state match register match. Thereby, D1 discloses all of the technical features of claim8, claim 8 lacks an novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).

For claims 9 and 10, their additional features are disclosed in D1 (cited in abstract, col2 line 4 to col 7 line 13), thus, claims 9 and 10 lack an novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).

It is obvious that not all the technical features in claims 11-14 are disclosed by D1,D2 or D3, and further the technical solution claimed is not obvious to a person skilled on the basis of D1,D2,D3 or their combination. Thus, claims 11-14 has novelty under PCT Article 33(2), and has an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3).

D1 discloses an apparatus, and particularly discloses features as follows (cited in abstract, col2 line 4 to col 7 line 13): a processing unit, which trapping, by a processor, a change in execution among schedulable entities; and privileged entity, which cause the processing unit to track an execution of a schedulable entity that is switched in for execution as a result of the change in execution. Thereby, D1 discloses all of the technical features of claim15, claim 15 lacks an novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CN2005/002305

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Box No. V

For claims 17-19, their additional features are disclosed in D1 (cited in abstract, col2 line 4 to col 7 line 13), thus, claims 17-19 lack an novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).

It is obvious that not all the technical features in claims 16,20-23 are disclosed by D1,D2 or D3, and further the technical solution claimed is not obvious to a person skilled on the basis of D1,D2,D3 or their combination. Thus, claims 16,20-23 has novelty under PCT Article 33(2), and has an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3).

D1 discloses a machine-readable medium, and particularly discloses features as follows (cited in abstract, col2 line 4 to col 7 line 13): providing instructions, which when executed by a machine, cause the machine to perform operations compring: trapping, by a processor, a change in execution among schedulable entities; and tracking an execution of a schedulable entity that is switched in for execution as a result of the change in execution. Thereby, D1 discloses all of the technical features of claim24, claim 24 lacks an novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).

For claims 25 and 26, their additional features are disclosed in D1 (cited in abstract, col2 line 4 to col 7 line 13), thus, claims 25 and 26 lack an novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).

It is obvious that not all the technical features in claims 27-30 are disclosed by D1,D2 or D3, and further the technical solution claimed is not obvious to a person skilled on the basis of D1,D2,D3 or their combination. Thus, claims 27-30 has novelty under PCT Article 33(2), and has an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3).

As such, D2 also discloses all of the technical features of claims 1-3,8-10,15,17-19,24-26 (abstract, col 6 line 37 to col col 26 line 42), so claims 1-3,8-10,15,17-19,24-26 lack an novelty with respect to D2 (Article 33 (2) PCT).

For claims 4-7,11-14,16,20-23,27-30: it is obvious that not all the technical features in claims 4-7,11-14,16,20-23,27-30 are disclosed by D1,D2 or D3, and further the technical solution claimed is not obvious to a person skilled on the basis of D1,D2,D3 or their combination. Thus, claims 4-7,11-14,16,20-23,27-30 has novelty under PCT Article 33(2), and has an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3).

Claims 1-30 are industrially applicable (Article 33(4) PCT) because the said method, said system, said virtual machine monitor and said machine readable medium can be made and used in the industries.